

Neutral

As of: June 11, 2014 4:19 PM EDT

## Wilson v. Gates

United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit  
April 15, 2011, Submitted; May 3, 2011, Decided  
No. 10-1870

**Reporter:** 427 Fed. Appx. 265; 2011 U.S. App. LEXIS 9172

NANCY CHRISTINE WILSON, Plaintiff - Appellant, v.  
ROBERT M. GATES, Secretary United States Department  
of Defense, Defendant - Appellee.

**Judges:** Before SHEDD, AGEE, and WYNN, Circuit  
Judges.

**Notice:** PLEASE REFER TO *FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE RULE 32.1* GOVERNING THE CITATION TO UNPUBLISHED OPINIONS.

### Opinion

[\*265] PER CURIAM:

**Prior History:** [\*\*1] Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Baltimore. (1:09-cv-01074-RDB). Richard D. Bennett, District Judge. [Wilson v. Gates, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 64390 \(D. Md., June 29, 2010\)](#)

**Disposition:** AFFIRMED.

### Core Terms

district court, summary judgment

**Counsel:** Mark W. Howes, LAW OFFICES OF MARK W. HOWES, LLC, Annapolis, Maryland, for Appellant.

Rod J. Rosenstein, United States Attorney, [Melanie L. Glickson](#), Assistant United States Attorney, Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellee.

Nancy Christine Wilson appeals the district court's order dismissing her civil action alleging employment discrimination. On appeal, she argues that the district court erred in granting her former employer's motion for summary judgment. We review orders granting summary judgment de novo, applying the same legal standards as the district court, [Nguyen v. CNA Corp., 44 F.3d 234, 236 \(4th Cir. 1995\)](#), and our review of the record reveals no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. [Wilson v. Gates, No. 1:09-cv-01074-RDB, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 64390 \(D. Md. June 29, 2010\)](#). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED